

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| • | | • | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/553,798 | 10/18/2005 | Satoshi Yoshida | 07580.0008 | 6122 |
| 22852 FINNFGAN I | 7590 12/12/200 HENDERSON FARAF | EXAMINER | | |
| FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 | | | CHEN, CATHERYNE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | .,, | | 1655 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/12/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Application Number | Application/Conf | trol No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/553,798 | YOSHIDA ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Catheryne Cher | 1655 | | | | |
| Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC | | | | | | |
| Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review | | | | | | |
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Br | ief Request for Re | eview filed <u>10/15/07</u> . | | | | |
| Improper Request – The Recreason(s): | juest is improper a | and a conference will not be held for the following | | | | |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has no ☐ The request does not includ ☐ A proposed amendment is in ☐ Other: | e reasons why a i | | | | | |
| The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comm | | from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from lotice of Appeal has been received. | | | | |
| held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month frunning from the receipt of the notice. | appeal because in accordance with maccordance with mailing this doesnot appeal, which DFR 1.136 based | erferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ith 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period never is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date | | | | |
| The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from considerations | | claim(s) is as follows: | | | | |
| | | en held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of emains closed. No further action is required by | | | | |
| 4. ☑ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | | | |
| All participants: | | | | | | |
| (1) Terry A. McKelvey. Jenja Mi | Kelver | (3)Robert Wax. | | | | |

(4)_____.

(2) Catheryne Chen.